Trends in Journal Subscriptions Renewal and Cancellation
A Look Back Over the Last Five Years

2005-2010
This report provides a detailed review of trends that have impacted journal subscriptions cancellations and renewals for scholarly publishers as identified by Publishers Communication Group, Inc. (PCG) between July 2005 and March 2010. Publishers can use this information and the strategic suggestions provided as a starting point for considering the impact of these trends on their strategy.

**METHODOLOGY**

Between July 2005 and March 2010, Publishers Communication Group completed nearly 200 Renewal Campaigns over the telephone for publishers of scholarly content. During these campaigns, PCG determined if a lapsed journal subscription was renewed, cancelled or pending and probed further to gain some insight into the reasons that led to a decision.

The geographic scope of the campaigns included calls to subscribers in North America, Latin America, Europe, Australia, Africa, and the Middle East. Lapsed subscriptions from academic institutions, not-for-profit and government agencies, corporations, hospitals, and to a much lesser degree individuals are represented in this sample.

Only those calls defined as successfully completed were included in ongoing data collection, analysis and presentation. To be defined as successfully completed, appropriate and authoritative information must be harvested from the subscriber, or a representative of the subscribing institution, during the call.

**SAMPLE DATA ANALYZED**

The sample data derives from calls covering nearly 45,000 subscriptions and excludes the results of campaigns determined to be outliers. This data is provided as an example and there is no suggestion, implied or otherwise, that it will predict the results of any given campaign.
RESULTS

The graph below details the renewal, cancellation and pending rates for the subscriptions data collected over the last five years. “n” represents the number of subscriptions included in each cycle. Results from the 2009-2010 cycle includes data collected until March 2010 and will be updated in July once the cycle is complete.

Renewed

Overall, between 32% and 38% of the lapsed subscriptions included in this sample were in fact renewed. The renewal rates have remained relatively consistent over the last five years, but were showing a slight decrease between 2006 and 2009. The slight increase for this cycle is not indicative of a significant trend since the full data set will need to include campaigns conducted between April and July 2010.

When a subscription is classified as renewed, in many cases, the contacted institution changed subscription agent or the librarian indicated that the failure to renew was due to an error or an oversight. During the calls, it is also common to find out that a library is missing an issue and the renewal effort provided an added level of customer service.

Pending

The rate of subscriptions for which a final decision is still pending has also not fluctuated much over the last five years and ranges from 9% to 14%. Generally in those cases, librarians reported that they either needed further assistance in order to process their renewal or that the final decision had not yet been reached. Many librarians contacted stated that they were not aware that their subscription had lapsed and were grateful for the call bringing this issue to their
attention. Consequently, renewal campaigns continue to be an essential tool, since individual
follow-up and incentives offered by publishers can be key to retaining lapsed subscribers.

Cancelled
The cancellation rates have been on a slow but steady upward trend since the 2006-2007 cycle. It
is evident that libraries around the world have faced some tremendous budgetary pressure in
recent years and have had to re-evaluate their journals collections several times. As a result, it is
valuable to understand the specific factors that affect scholarly journals subscriptions and to
identify new emerging trends.

Many factors influence an institution’s decision to cancel a subscription. Shrinking library budgets
and the prominent availability of online content have created a climate that has required a
redistribution of resources in the library’s collection at a substantial number of institutions.

However, it is essential to determine why a specific subscription has been cancelled in lieu of
another one. When the topic is explored in greater detail, librarians explain that because of
reductions in material budgets, they have been forced to institute a number of cost-cutting
exercises including usage studies, faculty surveys, consortia purchasing and the elimination of
duplicate subscriptions in several formats. For a complete list of the 13 most commonly cited
factors in general and their respective rates for each year, please see the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Format change</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low usage</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty recommendations</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget cuts</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscriber is no longer with the institution</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal content relevance</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal available through another institution</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other project-specific reason</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplicate subscription</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library/institution has closed</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exact reason not provided</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No record of journal</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In addition to budget cuts, the three most cited reasons for canceling a subscription in the last five years have been linked to online availability, low usage, and faculty recommendations. The evolution of these four factors over the last five years is examined in more detail in the graph below.

### Evolution of the Four Most Cited Reasons for Cancellation Over the Last Five Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Format change</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low usage</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty recommendations</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget cuts</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Electronic Access**

The most common reason provided to explain why a subscription was cancelled was linked to the electronic availability of the content. This trend continues to be increasingly prominent as many libraries adopt policies in order to avoid duplication of content as much as possible. In the last five years, at least 1 in 4 subscriptions was cancelled in favor of the electronic access of the same content from a single source.

It is important to determine the nature of the online access used to substitute this cancelled subscription. In the data collected, the rate above includes two distinct groups of cancellations. Journals subscriptions can be switched to e-only as part of a paid subscription to all the content available from a publisher. Alternatively, print subscriptions could be cancelled because some or all the content was now available through a third party, sometimes embargoed, database. Determining what libraries consider to be an acceptable level of access is essential for publishers in order to offer subscription options that best fit this market's needs. During each renewal campaign, Publishers Communication Group is able to further explore these issues.

*This data allows a publisher to clearly understand the rate at which client institutions are moving to electronic access of subscriptions and their reasons for making the format change. Also, this information is invaluable as publishers make strategic decisions related to the format of content delivery such as choosing technology partners, setting pricing, linking arrangements and advertising sales, as well as signing up with an aggregator.*

**Low Usage**

The number of subscriptions cancelled due to low usage continues to be of significance. It is clear that libraries use a number of criteria to evaluate their collection and that usage is only one of the many factors involved in this complicated process. The importance of usage statistics and their
implementation cannot, however, be underestimated. Over the last five years, 1 in 5 decisions to cancel a subscription on average was mainly based on how often the resource was consulted.

Concurrently, the switch to e-only subscriptions makes this factor an even stronger tool for librarians when they review their journals list. As usage statistics are increasingly readily available and more easily trackable, it is key for publishers to provide adequate links to their content, maintain their website and support maximum content visibility to ensure that library patrons can access their resources.

By analyzing information about institutions that have reported low usage of their journals, publishers can customize their sales approach and consider alternative methods of increasing usage at specific institutions. Also, publishers may consider the possibility that their journal suffers from an inherent editorial weakness that could be addressed in order to increase usage.

Faculty Recommendations
An interesting trend has been developing in the last few years in relation to the increasing weight faculty input carries in journal renewal and cancellation decisions. As institutions continue to face budgetary issues, it is common for librarians to consult with faculty members in order to prioritize their limited funds. By examining lists of titles in their field of research, faculty can make recommendations to librarians about which journals to retain and which to cancel. While this type of collaboration has always existed, this factor is now often the third most commonly reason recorded and accounts for about 10% of all cancellations. Faculty recommendations are influential since they and their students comprise the majority of the publication’s users.

Understanding which content faculty seek for their research and to support their curriculum is one key to increase usage. At the same time, considering how faculty utilize resources and the perceived value of each journal in comparison to others in the field is invaluable feedback for future marketing strategies.

Conclusion
The data presented in this report reflects the choices that librarians had to make in the last five years in the light of repeated budget cuts. The cancellation and renewal rates have not varied at a significant rate, but cancellations appear to be on an upward trend. The main factors librarians study when deciding which subscriptions to maintain and which to cancel have remained prominently the same: availability of content from multiple channels, usage statistics and faculty recommendations. By building relationships with librarians around the world, publishers can gain a strong understanding of the complex selection and deselection process, which in turn will allow them to react quickly and meet their customers’ needs.

Renewal Campaigns are an important tool for recapturing lapsed subscriptions and collecting invaluable market research on the purchasing behaviors and preferences of libraries around the world. When the information gathered through a Renewal Campaign is integrated into a broader marketing and promotion campaign, publishers can respond appropriately to the changing market dynamics impacting scholarly publishing.
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